IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

JUSTIN TODD TOTTEN,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-CV-2 (BAILEY)

JAMES RUBENSTEIN, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble [Doc. 17]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Trumble filed his R&R on February 17, 2016, wherein he recommends this Court dismiss the plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 1] without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915 and 1915A.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v.*

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on February 18, 2016 [Doc. 18]. To date,

no objections have been filed. Accordingly this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation [Doc. 17] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court

ORDERS that the plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 1] be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the defendants and to

STRIKE this case from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se plaintiff.

DATED: March 8, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2